

Title: Judicial narrative and voice in family violence matters: written resources for judicial officers

Project title:

Judicial narrative and voice in family violence matters: written resources for judicial officers

Project summary

This project involves the development of written resources for judicial officers to support the delivery of education sessions being delivered by Dr Hudson to judicial officers across Australia regarding the importance of judicial voice in presiding over family violence matters. The applicant (Dr Hudson) is engaged by the National Judicial College of Australia (NJCA) as an expert presenter to deliver a session 'Myths and Stereotypes – A Judicial Voice' as part of its ongoing judicial education program entitled 'Family Violence in the Court'. The session explores how judicial officers can harness their knowledge of key foundational concepts around the nature and dynamics of domestic and family violence, including coercive control. It demonstrates the pivotal role of judicial officers in shaping narrative, language and voice that is grounded in an accurate and evidence-based understanding of domestic and family violence.

Aims of the project

The aim of the project is to develop a written educational resource that can be provided to judicial officers who attend the 'Family Violence in the Court' program to supplement their face-to-face learning during the session delivered by Dr Hudson (co-delivered with a judicial officer who is on the NJCA Program Committee). The resource would be provided as a handout to participants during/following the session, and an electronic version be uploaded onto the NJCA learning portal following the sessions, to which participants have access. A further aim is to develop a version of the educational resource that could ultimately be provided to courts and made available to judicial officers as part of online resources (for example the National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book and Bench Books specific to each jurisdiction).

Outcomes in fulfilment of project aims

Aim 1: Develop a written educational resource to provide to judicial officers who attend the 'Family Violence in the Court' program to supplement their face-to-face learning during the session delivered by Dr Hudson (co-delivered with a judicial officer who is on the NJCA Program Committee), including provide the resource as a handout to participants during/following the session, and upload an electronic version onto the NJCA learning portal following the sessions, to which participants have access.

Outcomes on Aim 1: A written educational resource was drafted, informed by feedback from the 'Family Violence in the Court' program committee. The short form of the resource (in the form of two handouts) was provided to participants at two program delivery dates: 'Family Violence in the Court' Program delivered on 6 November (Magistrates and Registrars of the ACT Magistrates Court delivered in Bungendore, NSW) and 7 November (Senior Judicial Registrars of the Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (FCFOA), delivered in Victoria). Electronic versions were uploaded onto the NJCA learning portal following the sessions. **Attachments were provided as deliverables on this outcome to the Australian Academy of Law as follows: Handout 1 (Attachment 1) and reference list (Attachment 1A) and Handout 2 (Attachment 2).**

Title: Judicial narrative and voice in family violence matters: written resources for judicial officers

Aim 2: Develop a version of the written educational resource that could ultimately be provided to courts so that they can be made available to judicial officers as part of online resources (for example the National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book and Bench Books specific to particular jurisdictions).

Outcomes on Aim 2: Informed by feedback received from program participants for the two 'Family Violence in the Court' Program delivered in November; a final and longer form version of the educational resources has been developed and finalised. **Attachments were provided as deliverables on this outcome to the Australian Academy of Law as follows: Final written educational resource 'Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom' (Attachment 3) and 'Reference list for myths, misconceptions and stereotypes and realities' (Attachment 3A).** These have been provided to the Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia (FCFOA), Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA) (National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book and AIJA newsletter) and the Judicial College of Victoria (JCV) (Family Violence Bench Book) for the purpose of the resource being considered for distribution so as to be made available to judicial officers as part of internal and public facing online resources.

A detailed summary of the activities undertaken within the project in meeting these aims and preparation of deliverables and as part of the Grant Activity has been provided to the Australian Academy of Law through reporting under the terms of the Grant during the project.

I would like to thank the Australian Academy of Law for making this project possible through funding assistance provided to me through its 2025 Research Grants Scheme. I also acknowledge and thank the National Judicial College of Australia (NJCA) for non-financial support provided, and stakeholders for their assistance in this project.

Signed



Dr Nina Hudson

Full name: Dr Christina (preferred name: Nina) Hudson

Date: 2 February 2026

Independent Research Consultant

nina.hudson@utas.edu.au

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource

Judicial communication

Communication is a key aspect of performing the judicial function.¹ Judicial officers use communication skills to convey decisions—in writing in judgments or orally via *ex tempore* decisions—and to interact with courtroom participants, including legal counsel, individual parties or people affected by matters, and witnesses. As part of this, judicial officers listen to the language used by people in submissions or evidence and may need to consider at various times whether and how to respond to what is said, and the language to employ when doing so. This includes language in dialogue with counsel, parties or witnesses during court proceedings, and in making subsequent references in *ex-tempore* and written decisions to what has been said in statements, evidence and submissions.

The language that judicial officers employ—what is said and how it is said—is a critical aspect of court-craft in the context of [family violence](#).² There is a developing appreciation, drawing on behavioural sciences, of the notion that language matters in a range of settings both within and outside of the law.³

*Effective communication about complex issues requires deep consideration of how messages are received by those most directly affected, as well as the broader social impact such language can have.*⁴

Communications by judicial officers from the bench, for example the delivery and expression of a sentencing decision, can be ‘important sites for the affirmation or articulation of social norms or emotional expression’.⁵ Language that is used in the law ‘conveys the norms, values, beliefs and perceptions that ... help define the boundaries of social discourse’.⁶

In the context of family violence, the ‘critical role of the courts in changing cultural values and disavowing the instrumentality and usefulness of violence has been strongly emphasised’.⁷

12 myths, stereotypes and misconceptions about family violence and the realities

It is well recognised that there are myths, stereotypes and misconceptions about family violence. Perpetuating these can lead to minimising or excusing family violence, and be harmful to victim-survivors, including both adult and children victim-survivors. Further, they can exacerbate recognised issues linked to the complexity of family violence, such as the insidious nature of [coercive control](#), [mischaracterisation of victim-survivors as perpetrators](#), and [systems abuse](#).

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource

The [National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book](#)⁸ identifies and describes some key [myths and misunderstandings about domestic and family violence](#). Drawing on these and key research (‘*Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities*’ [attached](#)),⁹ below are 12 common myths, stereotypes and misconceptions about family violence and some key ‘realities’ that counter these myths, stereotypes and misconceptions. These realities reflect a contemporary and evidence-based understanding of the [dynamics and patterns of behaviour involved in family violence](#), as well as its [impacts](#).

✘ **Myth 1: “Choosing” to Stay in Relationships’** ... A victim-survivor is able to leave the relationship.

✓ **REALITIES:** Many victim-survivors will want to leave – however research shows they face a ‘myriad of barriers’ to leaving violent relationships such that the ‘stay/leave binary’ is rarely applicable.

✘ **Myth 2: ‘Separation Ends the Violence’** ... The violence will stop when the victim-survivor and perpetrator separate

✓ **REALITIES:** Research has shown that one of the most dangerous times for a victim-survivor is in the months after separation when the perpetrator may use a variety of tactics to reassert control over the victim-survivor.

✘ **Myth 3: ‘But He Doesn’t Hit You?’** ... Family violence involving physical violence is more serious than other controlling behaviours

✓ **REALITIES:** Research indicates that the majority of women who experience family violence report that the most difficult form of abuse is non-physical, especially emotional abuse, which has deep impacts on sense of self and freedom and long-lasting effects. Such types of violence are often pre-cursors to intimate partner homicide.

✘ **Myth 4: ‘It’s Not My Fault! Alcohol, Drugs etc are to Blame’** ... Family violence is caused by external factors, such as alcohol or drug use, financial pressures or a prejudicial family law system

✓ **REALITIES:** Perpetrators commonly minimise family violence by attempting to shift the blame to the victim-survivor and others. Although some research shows that alcohol and drugs can be correlated with the occurrence of family violence; correlation does not equal causation. For example, there is no evidence that alcohol use is a primary cause of violence against women. Violence occurs in the absence of these factors, and there are many situations where these factors are present, without violence occurring.

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource

✘ **Myth 5: ‘DV is Cultural’** ... Family violence only affects particular groups of people

✓ **REALITIES:** Research shows that family violence happens in all parts of society, regardless of race, gender, age, sexual identity, socio-economic status, location, culture or religion. Some groups of people are more vulnerable to experiencing violence, and its effects due to their circumstances or needs, or can face barriers to seeking help.

✘ **Myth 6: ‘Men Suffer DV as Much as Women’** ... Men and women are equally victim-survivors and perpetrators of family violence

✓ **REALITIES:** The greatest threat to the health of, and risk of premature death for, women aged between 15 and 44 years is family violence and family violence is most often perpetrated by men against women. The nature and consequences of men’s violence are significantly different from women’s violence.

✘ **Myth 7: ‘Sexual Assaults are Not DV’** ... Family violence does not include sexual assault

✓ **REALITIES:** Research shows that women’s experiences of family violence include sexual assault, for example, often co-occurring with coercive control. For women who experience sexual assault, in the majority of cases it is a sexual assault by a man they know, most commonly an intimate partner. Many victim-survivors do not report or even make disclosures about sexual assault, even when reporting other forms of violence.

✘ **Myth 8: ‘She’s Making It Up!’** ... Women often make false or exaggerated claims to obtain a tactical advantage in parenting proceedings

✓ **REALITIES:** There is no research to suggest that women make false or exaggerated claims of family violence to get an advantage in court cases about parenting. Research shows that family violence is under-reported, and barriers to reporting, include fear of not being believed. People who have used violence often deny or minimise family violence, with false denials of true allegations more common than false allegations of family violence.

✘ **Myth 9: ‘DV is just a “Toxic” relationship’** ... Family violence is a relationship issue or caused by dysfunctional relationships; both parties are responsible

✓ **REALITIES:** Research shows that perpetrators attempt to shift the blame to another source, often ‘mutualising’ violence or labelling it as ordinary difficulties in relationships. They can also focus on the violence being unique to ‘this’ relationship and the ‘behaviour’ of their partner, implying that the next relationship will be different and without violence. For a myriad of complex reasons, victim-survivors may accept blame for the violence or return to violent relationships. Violence in relationships is almost always underpinned by an underlying dynamic of coercive control. Research shows that both prior offending and reoffending are common among family violence offenders.

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource

✘ **Myth 10: ‘Only “Weak” Women are Victims of DV’** ... Victim-survivors of family violence are weak, passive or powerless

✓ **REALITIES:** Victim-survivors and their circumstances and experiences of violence are as diverse as people in the broader population. There is no particular ‘type’ of victim-survivor or typical way of responding. People of any class, culture, religion, sexual orientation, marital status and age can be victim-survivors or perpetrators of family violence. Research with victim-survivors about their experiences demonstrates their strength and resilience in overcoming adversity.

✘ **Myth 11: ‘DV Should be Endured to Keep the Family Together’** ... Mothers who experience family violence have a duty to keep the family together and to protect children from violence

✓ **REALITIES:** The risk of harm is not static and confined to the status of the relationship or family unit. A victim-survivor may be constantly reassessing the risk of harm to herself and her children and balancing complex and competing factors but is not responsible for the violence of the perpetrator. Despite this, a victim-survivor’s sense of duty to protect children from further harm may directly influence decisions to leave, which can in turn potentially threaten her own safety and that of her children.

✘ **Myth 12: ‘The Kids are All Right!’** ... Family violence that is not directed at children does not impact them

✓ **REALITIES:** A significant number of Australian children are exposed to violence; perpetrated most often against their mother by their father or their mother’s current or former male partner. Research shows that infants, children and adolescents experience serious negative psychological, emotional, social, and developmental impacts to their well-being from the traumatic ongoing experiences of violence. Children do not need to see or hear the family violence to be exposed to it.

Language and the power of judicial voice

Language plays a powerful role in the family violence context. Language can reinforce harmful myths, stereotypes and misconceptions, and shift responsibility away from responsible parties and onto victim-survivors. Language can also have positive impacts; by affirming inherent rights of people to be free from violence and reflecting norms and values of society that family violence is harmful and unacceptable. Language from a wide range of sources can contribute to this ‘dialogue’ about family violence, either reinforcing, or challenging, violence-supporting narratives about family violence, and underlying attitudes and beliefs towards victim-survivors and perpetrators. Further, language can directly impact individuals to whom communications are directed.

The language employed by judicial officers in their communications about the law in matters involving family violence has the potential to be powerful, more powerful than other voices within this dialogue. Acting from a position of judicial power, judicial language filters down and sets the tone and dynamic in the courtroom regarding conversations about family violence.

This resource is authored and owned by Dr Nina Hudson, December 2025, developed with financial support from the Australian Academy of Law Research Grants Scheme 2025. Dr Hudson thanks the Australian Academy of Law and also acknowledges the assistance of the National Judicial College of Australia (NJCA).

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource

In the judicial education context, there has been a recognition of the power of judicial language in promoting [perpetrator accountability](#) and the potential for judicial court-craft to also have an impact beyond the instant case ‘to promote awareness and understanding about domestic violence ... to set standards ... and guide a social dialogue around this insidious harm’.¹⁰

[Research](#) examining legal responses to family violence has identified the significance of judicial language in communications about the law, regarding [acts of violence](#), the behaviour of [victim-survivors](#), [relationship contexts](#) for violence, [children](#) as victim-survivors of family violence and the implications of family violence for [parenting](#). From this research, a central concept is the ‘judge as powerful voice’.¹¹ This concept recognises the dual aspects of communication when employed as a tool in performance of a judicial officer’s functions.


Judicial communication operates on a personal level, directed at the individual parties involved, and influencing their own narratives, but also at a public level, contributing to a broader conversation about family violence.¹² Other elements of the judicial voice are captured in descriptions of judgment writing (whether ex-tempore or reserved), as an expression of ‘individuality and creativity, ... within the framework of the facts and law of the particular case’.¹³ The potential power in harnessing these aspects is reflected in the description of judicial officers as

*the custodians of the richest and most dramatic and poignant human stories. In your courts, the fundamental patterns of society are enacted every day, with pathos and tedium and ferocity. ... [Your] voice comes from the centre of you, from your gut, your character, even your soul. I realise this might sound a bit over the top. I know you are writing a judgment, it’s not a poem. But I think everybody would agree that the plainest, most direct sentence spoken in an undefended voice, without artifice, from the deepest place we know, can reverberate right through a reader to powerful intellectual and emotional effect.*¹⁴

In this way, the power of the judicial voice is akin to a solo, cutting through the ensemble performance of others within the law. The judicial voice requires the employ of language that reflects a rational and unbiased application of the law in accordance with applicable rules and principles to the ‘rough and ambiguous terrain of human relationships’.¹⁵ At the same time, in the delivery and communication of decisions, each note rings out to inform the audience, including the individual parties, and the wider community, what the decision is and why it has been made. Similarly, other communications during court proceedings provide opportunities for judicial voice to use and model language as part of their court-craft—within applicable procedural rules—to set the tone and manage courtroom dynamics.

Research has explored communication approaches by judicial officers in exercising their judicial function in a wide of legal contexts.¹⁶ Drawing from this, the diagram below highlights three key aspects of judicial communication with implications regarding myths, stereotypes and misconceptions and the narrative power of judicial voice and language in the courtroom in the context of family violence matters.¹⁷

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource



Key takeaways and tips: the power of judicial voice and language as court-craft in the family violence context

Language used in the courtroom in family and domestic violence matters **can mirror (and potentially reinforce) attitudes and beliefs of perpetrators** and mischaracterise and misconceive domestic and family violence by drawing on **myths, stereotypes and misconceptions**.

Language that mischaracterises or misconceives family violence can contribute to a **'narrative' in legal contexts about the nature of family violence**, which does not reflect a contemporary and nuanced understanding of domestic and family violence that is evidence-based.

Judicial language, including judicial language in response to the language of others, has potential power in **promoting offender accountability**, as well as having impacts beyond the instant case to **guide a social dialogue around family violence**.

Research examining legal responses to family violence has identified the significance of judicial language in communications about the law—a central concept is the **'judge as powerful voice'**.

The potential individual- and community-level impacts of language in judicial communications reflect the broader **significance of judicial voice and language as court-craft** in communications from the bench.

This resource is authored and owned by Dr Nina Hudson, December 2025, developed with financial support from the Australian Academy of Law Research Grants Scheme 2025. Dr Hudson thanks the Australian Academy of Law and also acknowledges the assistance of the National Judicial College of Australia (NJCA).

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource


Language that is **trauma-informed** and informed by an evidence-based understanding of family violence supports communication approaches that **avoid falling back on myths, stereotypes and misconceptions** that can detract from the aims of the law, be harmful to victim-survivors, and can diminish perpetrator accountability and reinforce violence-supporting attitudes and beliefs.

Employing language and voice as part of judicial court-craft in the family violence context is about developing a **skill set** around language and choosing when and how to use judicial voice.

A non-exhaustive list of approaches to consider drawing on in developing this skill set is set out below.

<i>The skills</i>	Recognising the language of others. Using knowledge about the evidence in practice. Responding to the language of others.
<i>When to use them</i>	Responding to language in submissions—choosing to interrupt or challenge? Interacting with parties—personalising the message. Language in judgments—ex tempore and written.
<i>How to use them</i>	Consider ... Does language reflect a neutral approach, or invoke or even reinforce myths in four key areas: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Characterising the behaviour of violent parties.2. Characterising the behaviour and responses of non-violent parties.3. Characterising responsibility for family violence and behaviour change of violent parties.4. Characterising the relationship between the parties. <p><i>See over page for considerations in these four key areas.</i></p>

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource



Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource

Endnotes

-
- ¹ Sharyn Roach Anleu and Kathy Mack, *Performing Judicial Authority in the Lower Courts* (Palgrave Socio-Legal Studies, 2017).
- ² The term ‘family violence’ is used to encompass the broader term of ‘domestic and family violence’. See further, Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA), *National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book* (Bench Book, August 2025, AIJA, University of Melbourne, Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department) [3] ‘Terminology’ <<https://dfvbenchbook.aija.org.au/home>>.
- ³ Network of Alcohol and Other Drug Agencies (NADA) and NSW Users and AIDS Association (NUAA), *Language Matters* (Adapted from National Council for Behavioural Health, United States, 2015 and Matua Raki, New Zealand, 2016).
- ⁴ National Centre for Action on Child Sexual Abuse, *The Child Sexual Abuse Language Guide* (Terms and Definitions Preferred by Victims and Survivors of Child Sexual Abuse, 2025) 6.
- ⁵ Roach Anleu and Mack (n 1) 139
- ⁶ William B Hill Jr, ‘A Need for The Use of Nonsexist Language in the Courts’ (1992) 49(2) *Washington and Lee Law Review* 275, 276.
- ⁷ Christina (Nina) Hudson, *Straight-Talking, but from the Heart: Exploring Judicial Court-Craft in Sentencing Offenders for Intimate Partner Violence* (PhD Thesis, University of Tasmania, 2022) 23; Domestic Violence Resource Centre (DVRC), ‘Out of Character? Legal Responses to Intimate Partner Homicides by Men in Victoria 2005–2014’ (Discussion Paper, 2016) <<http://www.dvrcv.org.au/knowledge-centre/our-publications/discussion-papers/outcharacter>>; Kate Warner, ‘Sentencing the Violent Spouse’ (1996) 3 *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law* 107; David Indermaur, ‘Offender Psychology and Sentencing’ (1996) 31(1) *Australian Psychologist* 15; Patricia Easteal, ‘Sentencing Those Who Kill Their Sexual Intimates: An Australian Study’ (1993) 21 *International Journal of the Sociology of Law* 189.
- ⁸ Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA), *National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book* (Bench Book, August 2025, AIJA, University of Melbourne, Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department) [4.1] ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ <<https://dfvbenchbook.aija.org.au/home>>.
- ⁹ See Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’.
- ¹⁰ Judicial College of Victoria, ‘Events: Language, Sentencing and Public Discourse’, Judicial College of Victoria (Web Page, 23 July 2019) <<https://www.judicialcollege.vic.edu.au/programs-and-events/language-sentencing-and-publicdiscourse>>, involving presentations by Scott Mills (No To Violence) and Anna Butler, Manager of the NSW Domestic Violence Death Review.
- ¹¹ Kate Fitz-Gibbon et al, ‘The Views of Australian Judicial Officers on Domestic and Family Violence Perpetrator Interventions’ (Research Report No 13, ANROWS, June 2020) 11, 72–4: ‘judge as powerful voice’. See also Shellee Wakefield and Annabel Taylor, ‘Judicial Education for Domestic and Family Violence’ (State of Knowledge Paper Landscapes No 02/2015, ANROWS, June 2015) <<https://www.anrows.org.au/publication/judicial-education-for-domestic-andfamily-violence-state-of-knowledge-paper/>>.
- ¹² Hudson (n 7) 22; Fitz-Gibbon et al (n 11) 72–4.
- ¹³ The Honourable Justice Margaret McMurdo AC, ‘From Ex-Temps to Treatises, How Leading Judges Write’ (Presentation, Judicial Conference of Australia, Colloquium. 8 October 2016) 1.
- ¹⁴ Carolyn Ford, ‘Getting to the Heart of the Matter’ (2018) (Technology Special Edition, September) *Law Institute Journal* 83, 84, quoting Helen Garner.
- ¹⁵ Hudson (n 7) 1.
- ¹⁶ See generally, Hudson (n 7).
- ¹⁷ See generally, Hudson (n 7).

Attachment: Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities

✖ **Myth 1: “Choosing” to Stay in Relationships’** ... A victim-survivor is able to leave the relationship.

✓ **REALITIES: Many victim-survivors will want to leave – however research shows they face a ‘myriad of barriers’ to leaving violent relationships such that the ‘stay/leave binary’ is rarely applicable.**

References

Crystal Bruton and Danielle Tyson, ‘Leaving Violent Men: A Study of Women’s Experiences of Separation in Victoria, Australia’ (2017) 51(3) *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Criminology* 339.

Niwako Yamawaki et al, ‘Perceptions of Domestic Violence: The Effects of Domestic Violence Myths, Victim’s Relationship with Her Abuser, and the Decision to Return to Her Abuser’ (2012) 27(16) *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* 3195.

Heather Douglas and Tamara Walsh, ‘Mothers, Domestic Violence and Child Protection’ (2010) 16(5) *Violence Against Women* 489.

Shellee Wakefield and Annabel Taylor, *Judicial Education on Family Violence: State of Knowledge Paper* (Australia’s National Research Organisation for Women’s Safety Landscapes (ANROWS), ANROWS Landscapes Issue 02/2015, 2015)
<<https://www.anrows.org.au/publication/judicial-education-for-domestic-and-family-violence-state-of-knowledge-paper/>>.

Sarah Buel, ‘Fifty Obstacles to Leaving a.k.a. Why Abuse Victims Stay’ (1999) 28(10) *The Colorado Lawyer* 19.

Silke Meyer, ‘Why Women Stay: A Theoretical Examination of Rational Choice and Moral Reasoning in the Context of Intimate Partner Violence’ (2012) 45(2) *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Criminology* 179.

Kylie Butler and Jasmine MacDonald, *Violence against Family Animals in the Context of Intimate Partner Violence* (Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS), 2024)
<<https://aifs.gov.au/resources/policy-and-practice-papers/violence-against-family-animals-context-intimate-partner>>.

Amanda George and Bridget Harris, *Landscapes of Violence: Women Surviving Family Violence in Regional and Rural Victoria* (Centre for Rural and Regional Law and Justice, Deakin University, 2014) <<https://eprints.qut.edu.au/104420/>>.

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (*Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’*)

Annabelle Allimant and Beata Ostapiej-Piatkowski, *Supporting Women from CALD Backgrounds Who are Victim/Survivors of Sexual Violence: Challenges and Opportunities for Practitioners* (Australian Centre for the Study of Sexual Assault Wrap No 9, Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS), 2011) <<https://aifs.gov.au/resources/practice-guides/supporting-women-cald-backgrounds-who-are-victim-survivors-sexual-violence>>.

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW), ‘People from Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Backgrounds’, *Family, Domestic and Sexual Violence* (Web Page, 2024) <<https://www.aihw.gov.au/family-domestic-and-sexual-violence/population-groups/cald>>.

Cathy Vaughan et al, *Promoting Community-Led Responses to Violence against Immigrant and Refugee Women in Metropolitan and Regional Australia: The Aspire Project: State of Knowledge Paper* (Australia’s National Research Organisation for Women’s Safety Landscapes (ANROWS), ANROWS Landscapes Issue 12/2015, 2015) <<https://www.anrows.org.au/publication/promoting-community-led-responses-to-violence-against-immigrant-and-refugee-women-in-metropolitan-and-regional-australia-the-aspire-project-state-of-knowledge-paper/>>.

See generally, Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA), National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book (Bench Book, August 2025, AIJA, University of Melbourne, Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department) [4.1] ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ <<https://dfvbenchbook.aija.org.au/home>>.

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’)

✘ **Myth 2: ‘Separation Ends the Violence’** ... The violence will stop when the victim-survivor and perpetrator separate

✓ **REALITIES: Research has shown that one of the most dangerous times for a victim-survivor is in the months after separation when the perpetrator may use a variety of tactics to reassert control over the victim-survivor.**

References

Walter DeKerseredy, Molly Dragiewicz and Martin Schwartz, *Abusive Endings: Separation and Divorce Violence against Women* (University of California Press, 2017).

Paula Wilcox, ‘Communities, Care and Domestic Violence’ (2006) 26(4) *Critical Social Policy* 722.

Heather Douglas and Tamara Walsh, ‘Mothers, Domestic Violence and Child Protection’ (2010) 16(5) *Violence Against Women* 489.

Crystal Bruton and Danielle Tyson, ‘Leaving Violent Men: A Study of Women’s Experiences of Separation in Victoria, Australia’ (2017) 51(3) *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Criminology* 339.

Kristel A Krella et al, ‘Enhancing Safety for Separating Families Affected by Domestic and Family Violence: A Scoping Review of Modifiable Factors’ (Epub ahead of print, 20 March 2025) *Trauma, Violence & Abuse* (eLocator: 15248380251325195).

Jacqueline C Campbell et al, ‘Risk Factors for Femicide in Abusive Relationships: Results from a Multisite Case Control Study’ (2003) 93(7) *American Journal of Public Health* 1089.

Brittany E Hayes, ‘Abusive Men’s Indirect Control of Their Partner during the Process of Separation’ (2012) 27(4) *Journal of Family Violence* 333.

Kathryn J Spearman, Jennifer L Hardesty and Jacquelyn Campbell, ‘Post-Separation Abuse: A Concept Analysis’ (2023) 79(4) *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 1225.

Australian Domestic and Family Violence Death Review Network, *Australian Domestic and Family Violence Death Review Network Data Report: 2018* (New South Wales Domestic Violence Death Review Team, Sydney, 2018)

<<https://www.anrows.org.au/publication/australian-domestic-and-family-violence-death-review-network-data-report-intimate-partner-violence-homicides-2010-2018/>>.

A Myhill and K Hohl, ‘The “Golden Thread”: Coercive Control and Risk Assessment for Domestic Violence’ (2019) 34(21–22) *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* 4477.

Claire Ferguson and Freya McLachlan, ‘Predicting and Assessing Lethal Risk in Domestic and Family Violence Situations in Australia’ (QUT Centre for Justice Briefing Paper No 3, April 2020) <<https://eprints.qut.edu.au/216824/>>.

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (*Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’*)

Caroline Spiranovic, Nina Hudson et al, ‘Navigating Risk and Protective Factors for Family Violence during and after the COVID-19 “Perfect Storm”’ (2021) 33(1) *Current Issues in Criminal Justice* 5.

See generally, Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA), National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book (Bench Book, August 2025, AIJA, University of Melbourne, Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department) [4.1] ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ <<https://dfvbenchbook.aija.org.au/home>>.

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (*Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’*)

✘ **Myth 3: ‘But He Doesn’t Hit You?’** ... Family violence involving physical violence is more serious than other controlling behaviours

✓ **REALITIES:** Research indicates that the majority of women who experience family violence report that the most difficult form of abuse is non-physical, especially emotional abuse, which has deep impacts on sense of self and freedom and long-lasting effects. Such types of violence are often pre-cursors to intimate partner homicide.

References

Heather Douglas, *Women, Intimate Partner Violence and the Law* (Oxford University Press, 2021).

Australia’s National Research Organisation for Women’s Safety (ANROWS), *Defining and Responding to Coercive Control: Policy Brief* (Australia’s National Research Organisation for Women’s Safety (ANROWS), ANROWS Insights 01/2021, 2021) <<https://www.anrows.org.au/publication/defining-and-responding-to-coercive-control/>>.

Australian Domestic and Family Violence Death Review Network, *Australian Domestic and Family Violence Death Review Network Data Report: 2018* (New South Wales Domestic Violence Death Review Team, Sydney, 2018)
<<https://www.anrows.org.au/publication/australian-domestic-and-family-violence-death-review-network-data-report-intimate-partner-violence-homicides-2010-2018/>>.

Angela Ragusa, ‘Rural Australian Women’s Legal Help Seeking for Intimate Partner Violence: Women Intimate Partner Violence Victim Survivors: Perceptions of Criminal Justice Support Services’ (2012) 28(4) *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* 685.

Sarah Wendt et al, *Seeking Help for Domestic Violence: Exploring Rural Women’s Coping Experiences: State of Knowledge Paper* (Australia’s National Research Organisation for Women’s Safety (ANROWS), ANROWS Landscapes Issue 04/2015, 2015)
<<https://www.anrows.org.au/publication/seeking-help-for-domestic-violence-exploring-rural-womens-coping-experiences-state-of-knowledge-paper/>>.

See generally, Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA), National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book (Bench Book, August 2025, AIJA, University of Melbourne, Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department) [4.1] ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ <<https://dfvbenchbook.aija.org.au/home>>.

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’)

✘ **Myth 4: ‘It’s Not My Fault! Alcohol, Drugs etc are to Blame’** ... Family violence is caused by external factors, such as alcohol or drug use, financial pressures or a prejudicial family law system

✓ **REALITIES: Perpetrators commonly minimise family violence by attempting to shift the blame to the victim-survivor and others. Although some research shows that alcohol and drugs can be correlated with the occurrence of family violence; correlation does not equal causation. For example, there is no evidence that alcohol use is a primary cause of violence against women. Violence occurs in the absence of these factors, and there are many situations where these factors are present, without violence occurring.**

References

Suellen Murray and Anastacia Powell, ‘What’s the Problem? Australian Public Policy Constructions of Domestic and Family Violence’ (2009) 15(5) *Violence Against Women* 532.

National Legal Aid, *Family Violence Law*, ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ (Web Page, National Legal Aid, Australian Government and Family Advocacy and Support Services, 2025) <<https://familyviolencelaw.gov.au/domestic-family-violence/myths-and-misunderstandings/>>.

Shann Hulme, Anthony Morgan and Hayley Boxall, *Domestic Violence Offenders, Prior Offending and Reoffending in Australia* (Trends & Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice No 580, Australian Institute of Criminology (AIC), 2019) <<https://www.aic.gov.au/publications/tandi/tandi580>>

Patrick Noonan, Annabel Taylor and Jackie Burke, *Links between Alcohol Consumption and Domestic and Sexual Violence Against Women: Key Findings and Future Directions* (Australia’s National Research Organisation for Women’s Safety (ANROWS), ANROWS Compass 08/2017, 2017) <<https://www.anrows.org.au/publication/links-between-alcohol-consumption-and-domestic-and-sexual-violence-against-women-key-findings-and-future-directions/>>.

Angela Melville and Rosemary Hunter, “‘As Everybody Knows’”: Countering Myths of Gender Bias in Family Law’ (2001) 10 *Griffith Law Review* 124.

See generally, Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA), National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book (Bench Book, August 2025, AIJA, University of Melbourne, Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department) [4.1] ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ <<https://dfvbenchbook.aija.org.au/home>>.

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (*Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’*)

✘ **Myth 5: ‘DV is Cultural’** ... Family violence only affects particular groups of people

✓ **REALITIES:** Research shows that family violence happens in all parts of society, regardless of race, gender, age, sexual identity, socio-economic status, location, culture or religion. Some groups of people are more vulnerable to experiencing violence, and its effects due to their circumstances or needs, or can face barriers to seeking help.

References

Australian Law Reform Commission and New South Wales Law Reform Commission, *Family Violence – A National Legal Response* (Report No 114, 2010) <<https://www.alrc.gov.au/publication/family-violence-a-national-legal-response-alrc-report-114/>>.

Angela Ragusa, ‘Rural Australian Women’s Legal Help Seeking for Intimate Partner Violence: Women Intimate Partner Violence Victim Survivors: Perceptions of Criminal Justice Support Services’ (2012) 28(4) *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* 685.

Monica Campo and Sarah Tayton, *Intimate Partner Violence in Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans, Intersex and Queer Communities – Key Issues*, CFCA Practitioner Resource (Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS), December 2015) <<https://aifs.gov.au/resources/practice-guides/intimate-partner-violence-lesbian-gay-bisexual-trans-intersex-and-queer>>.

Nina Hudson, ‘Family Violence Laws: Traditional Narratives and the (In)visibility of Lesbian Relationships and Lesbian-Parented Families’ (2019) 23(3) *Journal of Lesbian Studies* 357.

Andrew Day, Ashlen Francisco and Robin Jones, *Indigenous Family Violence: Pathways Forward* (Closing the Gap Clearinghouse, Issues Paper No 4, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW), 2012) <<https://www.aihw.gov.au/getmedia/7715972c-8813-4493-a2de-505e773efdb7/ctg-ip04.pdf.aspx?inline=true>>.

Australian Human Rights Commission, *Australian Study Tour Report: Visit of the UN Special Rapporteur on Violence Against Women, 10–20 April 2012* (2012) <<https://humanrights.gov.au/resource-hub/by-resource-type/publications/report-visit-un-special-rapporteur-violence-against-women>>.

Cathy Vaughan et al, *Promoting Community-Led Responses to Violence Against Immigrant and Refugee Women in Metropolitan and Regional Australia: The ASPIRE Project Research Report* (Australia’s National Research Organisation for Women’s Safety (ANROWS), ANROWS Horizons 07/2016, 2016) <<https://www.anrows.org.au/publication/promoting-community-led-responses-to-violence-against-immigrant-and-refugee-women-in-metropolitan-and-regional-australia-the-aspire-project-final-report/>>.

Gita Mishra et al (University of Newcastle and University of Queensland), *Health and Wellbeing of Women Aged 18 to 23 in 2013 and 1996: Findings from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women’s Health* (Department of Health, Australian Government, 2014) <<https://alshw.org.au/post-outcomes/2014-major-report-health-and-wellbeing-of-women-aged-18-to-23-in-2013-and-1996-findings-from-the-australian-longitudinal-study-on-womens-health/>>.

This resource is authored and owned by Dr Nina Hudson, December 2025, developed with financial support from the Australian Academy of Law Research Grants Scheme 2025. Dr Hudson thanks the Australian Academy of Law and also acknowledges the assistance of the National Judicial College of Australia (NJCA).

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (*Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’*)

Margrette Young, Julie Byles and Annette Dobson, *The Effectiveness of Legal Protection in the Prevention of Domestic Violence in the Lives of Young Australian Women* (Trends & Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice No 148, Australian Institute of Criminology (AIC), 2000) <<https://www.aic.gov.au/publications/tandi/tandi148>>.

See generally, Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA), National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book (Bench Book, August 2025, AIJA, University of Melbourne, Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department) [4.1] ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ <<https://dfvbenchbook.aija.org.au/home>>.

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (*Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’*)

✘ **Myth 6: ‘Men Suffer DV as Much as Women’** ... Men and women are equally victim-survivors and perpetrators of family violence

✓ **REALITIES: The greatest threat to the health of, and risk of premature death for, women aged between 15 and 44 years is family violence and family violence is most often perpetrated by men against women. The nature and consequences of men’s violence are significantly different from women’s violence.**

References

VicHealth and Department of Human Services, State Government Victoria, *The Health Costs of Violence: Measuring the Burden of Disease Caused by Intimate Partner Violence: A Summary of Findings* (Victorian Health Promotion Foundation, 2004, 2010 reprint) <<https://www.vichealth.vic.gov.au/sites/default/files/IPV-BOD-web-version.pdf>>.

National Council to Reduce Violence against Women and their Children, *Background Paper to Time for Action: The National Council’s Plan to Reduce Violence against Women and their Children, 2009–2021* (Commonwealth of Australia, 2009) <<https://www.indigenousjustice.gov.au/resources/background-paper-to-time-for-action-the-national-councils-plan-for-australia-to-reduce-violence-against-women-and-their-children-2009-2021/>>.

Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS), *Personal Safety, Australia*, ‘Reference period: 2021–22 financial year’ (15 March 2023) <<https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/people/crime-and-justice/personal-safety-australia/latest-release>>.

Peta Cox, *Violence Against Women in Australia: Additional Analysis of the Australian Bureau of Statistics Personal Safety Survey 2012* (Australia’s National Research Organisation for Women’s Safety (ANROWS), ANROWS Horizons 01.01/2016 Rev. ed, 2016) <<https://www.anrows.org.au/publication/violence-against-women-in-australia-additional-analysis-of-the-australian-bureau-of-statistics-personal-safety-survey-2012/>>.

Dale Bagshaw and Donna Chung, *Women, Men and Domestic Violence* (Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, Commonwealth Government and University of South Australia, 2000).

Samantha Bricknell, *Homicide in Australia 2020–21* (National Homicide Monitoring Program, Australian Institute of Criminology (AIC), 2023) <<https://www.aic.gov.au/publications/sr/sr42>>.

See generally, Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA), *National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book* (Bench Book, August 2025, AIJA, University of Melbourne, Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department) [4.1] ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ <<https://dfvbenchbook.aija.org.au/home>>.

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (*Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’*)

✘ **Myth 7: ‘Sexual Assaults are Not DV’** ... Family violence does not include sexual assault

✓ **REALITIES:** Research shows that women’s experiences of family violence include sexual assault, for example, often co-occurring with coercive control. For women who experience sexual assault, in the majority of cases it is a sexual assault by a man they know, most commonly an intimate partner. Many victim-survivors do not report or even make disclosures about sexual assault, even when reporting other forms of violence.

References

Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS), *Personal Safety, Australia*, ‘Reference period: 2021–22 financial year’ (15 March 2023) <<https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/people/crime-and-justice/personal-safety-australia/latest-release>>.

Hayley Boxall and Anthony Morgan, *Experiences of Coercive Control among Australian Women* (Statistical Bulletin No 30, Australian Institute of Criminology (AIC), 2021).

Peta Cox, *Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence in the Context of Co-occurrence and Re-victimisation: State of Knowledge Paper* (Australia’s National Research Organisation for Women’s Safety (ANROWS), ANROWS Landscapes Issue 13/2015, 2015) <<https://www.aic.gov.au/publications/sb/sb30>>.

Denise Lievore, *Prosecutorial Decisions in Adult Sexual Assault Cases: An Australian Study* (Report, Australian Institute of Criminology (AIC), 2004) <<https://www.aic.gov.au/sites/default/files/2020-05/prosecutorial-decisions-in-adult-sexual-assault-cases.pdf>>.

Nina Hudson et al, *Understanding Adult Sexual Assault Matters: Insights from Research and Practice: An Educational Resource for the Justice Sector* (Educational Resource, Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS), 2024) <<https://aifs.gov.au/resources/practice-guides/understanding-adult-sexual-assault-matters-insights-research-and-practice>>.

Royal Commission into Family Violence, *Report and Recommendations* (Vol II, Report, March 2016) <<http://rcfv.archive.royalcommission.vic.gov.au/Report-Recommendations.html>>.

Meredith E Bagwell-Gray, Jill Theresa Messing and Adrienne Baldwin-White, ‘Intimate Partner Sexual Violence: A Review of Terms, Definitions and Prevalence’ (2015) 16(3) *Trauma, Violence and Abuse* 316.

Meredith E Bagwell-Gray, ‘Women’s Experiences of Sexual Violence in Intimate Relationships: Applying a New Taxonomy’ (2021) 36(13–14) *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* NP7813.

Gemma Hamilton et al, *Family Violence and Sexual Harm* (Research Report, RMIT University, 2023) <https://research-repository.rmit.edu.au/articles/report/Family_Violence_and_Sexual_Harm_Knowledge_Translation_Exchange_Report_/27603138>.

This resource is authored and owned by Dr Nina Hudson, December 2025, developed with financial support from the Australian Academy of Law Research Grants Scheme 2025. Dr Hudson thanks the Australian Academy of Law and also acknowledges the assistance of the National Judicial College of Australia (NJCA).

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (*Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’*)

Jasmine B MacDonald et al, *Reproductive Coercion and Abuse* (Practice Guide, Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS), 2023) <<https://aifs.gov.au/resources/practice-guides/reproductive-coercion-and-abuse>>.

Women’s Safety and Justice Taskforce, *Hear Her Voice* (Report 1, Vol 2, 2021) <https://www.publications.qld.gov.au/dataset/womens-safety-and-justice-taskforce/resource/6597bfa7-6529-4c56-9114-2e7639682f16?inner_span=True>.

See generally, Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA), National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book (Bench Book, August 2025, AIJA, University of Melbourne, Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department) [4.1] ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ <<https://dfvbenchbook.aija.org.au/home>>.

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’)

✘ **Myth 8: ‘She’s Making It Up!’**... Women often make false or exaggerated claims to obtain a tactical advantage in parenting proceedings

✓ **REALITIES:** There is no research to suggest that women make false or exaggerated claims of family violence to get an advantage in court cases about parenting. Research shows that family violence is under-reported, and barriers to reporting, include fear of not being believed. People who have used violence often deny or minimise family violence, with false denials of true allegations more common than false allegations of family violence.

References

National Legal Aid, *Family Violence Law*, ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ (Web Page, National Legal Aid, Australian Government and Family Advocacy and Support Services, 2025) <<https://familyviolencelaw.gov.au/domestic-family-violence/myths-and-misunderstandings/>>.

Rae Kaspiw et al, *Evaluation of the 2012 Family Violence Amendments* (Synthesis Report, Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS), 2015) <<https://aifs.gov.au/all-research/research-reports/evaluation-2012-family-violence-amendments>>.

Angela Melville and Rosemary Hunter, “‘As Everybody Knows’”: Countering Myths of Gender Bias in Family Law’ (2001) 10 *Griffith Law Review* 124.

Leanne Francia, Prudence Milliar and Rachael Sharman, ‘Addressing Family Violence Post Separation – Mothers and Fathers’ Experiences from Australia’ (2019) 16(3) *Journal of Child Custody* 211.

Lesley Laing, *No Way to Live: Women’s Experiences of Negotiating the Family Law System in the Context of Domestic Violence* (University of Sydney, 2010) <<https://ses.library.usyd.edu.au/handle/2123/6255?show=full>>.

Peter Jaffe et al, ‘Custody Disputes Involving Allegations of Domestic Violence: Toward a Differentiated Approach to Parenting Plans’ (2008) 46(3) *Family Court Review* 500.

See generally, Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA), National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book (Bench Book, August 2025, AIJA, University of Melbourne, Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department) [4.1] ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ <<https://dfvbenchbook.aija.org.au/home>>.

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (*Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’*)

✘ **Myth 9: ‘DV is just a “Toxic” relationship’** ... Family violence is a relationship issue or caused by dysfunctional relationships; both parties are responsible

✓ **REALITIES:** Research shows that perpetrators attempt to shift the blame to another source, often ‘mutualising’ violence or labelling it as ordinary difficulties in relationships. They can also focus on the violence being unique to ‘this’ relationship and the ‘behaviour’ of their partner, implying that the next relationship will be different and without violence. For a myriad of complex reasons, victim-survivors may accept blame for the violence or return to violent relationships. Violence in relationships is almost always underpinned by an underlying dynamic of coercive control. Research shows that both prior offending and reoffending are common among family violence offenders.

References

Heather Douglas, ‘The Criminal Law’s Response to Domestic Violence: What’s Going On?’ (2008) 30 *Sydney Law Review* 439.

Australian Attorney-General’s Department, *National Principles to Address Coercive Control in Family and Domestic Violence* (Commonwealth of Australia, 2023)
<<https://www.ag.gov.au/families-and-marriage/publications/national-principles-address-coercive-control-family-and-domestic-violence>>.

Crystal Bruton and Danielle Tyson, ‘Leaving Violent Men: A Study of Women’s Experiences of Separation in Victoria, Australia’ (2017) 51(3) *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Criminology* 339.

Niwako Yamawaki et al, ‘Perceptions of Domestic Violence: The Effects of Domestic Violence Myths, Victim’s Relationship with Her Abuser, and the Decision to Return to Her Abuser’ (2012) 27(16) *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* 3195.

Heather Douglas and Tamara Walsh, ‘Mothers, Domestic Violence and Child Protection’ (2010) 16(5) *Violence Against Women* 489.

Sarah Buel, ‘Fifty Obstacles to Leaving a.k.a. Why Abuse Victims Stay’ (1999) 28(10) *The Colorado Lawyer* 19.

Silke Meyer, ‘Why Women Stay: A Theoretical Examination of Rational Choice and Moral Reasoning in the Context of Intimate Partner Violence’ (2012) 45(2) *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Criminology* 179.

Kylie Butler and Jasmine MacDonald, *Violence Against Family Animals in the Context of Intimate Partner Violence* (Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS), 2024)
<<https://aifs.gov.au/resources/policy-and-practice-papers/violence-against-family-animals-context-intimate-partner>>.

Amanda George and Bridget Harris, *Landscapes of Violence: Women Surviving Family Violence in Regional and Rural Victoria* (Centre for Rural and Regional Law and Justice, Deakin University, 2014).

This resource is authored and owned by Dr Nina Hudson, December 2025, developed with financial support from the Australian Academy of Law Research Grants Scheme 2025. Dr Hudson thanks the Australian Academy of Law and also acknowledges the assistance of the National Judicial College of Australia (NJCA).

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (*Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’*)

Shann Hulme, Anthony Morgan and Hayley Boxall, *Domestic Violence Offenders, Prior Offending and Reoffending in Australia* (Trends & Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice No 580, Australian Institute of Criminology (AIC), 2019) <<https://eprints.qut.edu.au/104420/>>.

See generally, Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA), National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book (Bench Book, August 2025, AIJA, University of Melbourne, Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department) [4.1] ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ <<https://dfvbenchbook.aija.org.au/home>>.

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (*Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’*)

✘ **Myth 10: ‘Only “Weak” Women are Victims of DV’** ... Victim-survivors of family violence are weak, passive or powerless

✓ **REALITIES: Victim-survivors and their circumstances and experiences of violence are as diverse as people in the broader population. There is no particular ‘type’ of victim-survivor or typical way of responding. People of any class, culture, religion, sexual orientation, marital status and age can be victim-survivors or perpetrators of family violence. Research with victim-survivors about their experiences demonstrates their strength and resilience in overcoming adversity.**

References

Leigh Goodmark, ‘Reframing Domestic Violence Law and Policy: An Anti-Essentialist Proposal’ (2009) 31 *Washington University Journal of Law and Policy* 39.

Safe and Equal, ‘Myths about Family Violence’ (Web Page, 2025) <<https://safeandequal.org.au/understanding-family-violence/myths/>>.

WorkUP Queensland, *Practitioner Fact Sheet: Listening to the Voices of Victims and Survivors* (Fact Sheet, February 2024) <<https://workupqld.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/Practitioner-Fact-Sheet-Listening-to-the-voices-of-victims-and-survivors.pdf>>.

Kristen Foley et al, *Connecting the Dots* (Australia’s National Research Organisation for Women’s Safety (ANROWS), Practice Framework, 2023) <<https://www.anrows.org.au/resources/connecting-the-dots-practice-framework/>>.

Heather Douglas, ‘A Consideration of the Merits of Specialised Homicide Offences and Defences for Battered Women’ (2012) 45(3) *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Criminology* 367.

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW), ‘Coercive Control’, *Family, Domestic and Sexual Violence* (Web Page, 19 July 2024) <<https://www.aihw.gov.au/family-domestic-and-sexual-violence/understanding-fdsv/coercive-control>>.

See generally, Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA), National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book (Bench Book, August 2025, AIJA, University of Melbourne, Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department) [4.1] ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ <<https://dfvbenchbook.aija.org.au/home>>.

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (*Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’*)

✘ **Myth 11: ‘DV Should be Endured to Keep the Family Together’** ... Mothers who experience family violence have a duty to keep the family together and to protect children from violence

✓ **REALITIES: The risk of harm is not static and confined to the status of the relationship or family unit. A victim-survivor may be constantly reassessing the risk of harm to herself and her children and balancing complex and competing factors but is not responsible for the violence of the perpetrator. Despite this, a victim-survivor’s sense of duty to protect children from further harm may directly influence decisions to leave, which can in turn potentially threaten her own safety and that of her children.**

References

Murray, Suellen, and Anastacia Powell, ‘What’s The Problem? Australian Public Policy Constructions of Domestic and Family Violence’ (2009) 15(5) *Violence Against Women* 532.

Heather Douglas, ‘The Criminal Law’s Response to Domestic Violence: What’s Going On?’ (2008) 30 *Sydney Law Review* 439.

National Legal Aid, *Family Violence Law*, ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ (Web Page, National Legal Aid, Australian Government and Family Advocacy and Support Services, 2025) <<https://familyviolencelaw.gov.au/domestic-family-violence/myths-and-misunderstandings/>>.

Donna Chung, Rosemary Kennedy and Bev O’Brien, *Home Safe Home: The Link between Domestic and Family Violence and Women’s Homelessness* (Partnerships Against Domestic Violence, 2000) <<https://wesnet.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/homesafehome.pdf>>

Catherine Humphreys, *Domestic Violence and Child Protection: Challenging Directions for Practice* (Issues Paper No 13, Australian Domestic and Family Violence Clearinghouse, 2007) <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275949423_Issues_Paper_domestic_violence_and_child_protection_2007>.

Silke Meyer, *Responding to Intimate Partner Violence and Victimisation: Effective Options for Help-seeking* (Trends and Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice No 389, Australian Institute of Criminology (AIC), 2010) <<https://www.aic.gov.au/publications/tandi/tandi389>>.

Leesa Hooker, Rae Kaspiew and Angela Taft, *Domestic and Family Violence and Parenting: Mixed Methods Insights into Impact and Support Needs: State of Knowledge Paper* (Australia’s National Research Organisation for Women’s Safety (ANROWS), ANROWS Landscapes Issue 01/2016, 2016) <<https://www.anrows.org.au/publication/domestic-and-family-violence-and-parenting-mixed-methods-insights-into-impact-and-support-needs-state-of-knowledge-paper/>>.

See generally, Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA), National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book (Bench Book, August 2025, AIJA, University of Melbourne, Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department) [4.1] ‘Myths and Misunderstandings’ <<https://dfvbenchbook.aija.org.au/home>>.

This resource is authored and owned by Dr Nina Hudson, December 2025, developed with financial support from the Australian Academy of Law Research Grants Scheme 2025. Dr Hudson thanks the Australian Academy of Law and also acknowledges the assistance of the National Judicial College of Australia (NJCA).

Family Violence: Myths, Stereotypes and Misunderstandings and Judicial Voice and Language in the Courtroom—An Educational Resource (*Attachment: ‘Reference list for myths, stereotypes and misunderstandings and realities’*)

✘ **Myth 12: ‘The Kids are All Right!’** ... Family violence that is not directed at children does not impact them

✓ **REALITIES: A significant number of Australian children are exposed to violence; perpetrated most often against their mother by their father or their mother’s current or former male partner. Research shows that infants, children and adolescents experience serious negative psychological, emotional, social, and developmental impacts to their well-being from the traumatic ongoing experiences of violence. Children do not need to see or hear the family violence to be exposed to it.**

References

Kelly Richards, *Children’s Exposure to Domestic Violence in Australia* (Trends & Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice No 419, Australian Institute of Criminology (AIC), 2011) <<https://www.aic.gov.au/publications/tandi/tandi419>>.

Dale Bagshaw et al, ‘The Effect of Family Violence on Post-Separation Parenting Arrangements: The Experiences and Views of Children and Adults from Families who Separated Post-1995 and Post-2006’ (2011) 86 *Family Matters* 49.

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW), ‘Children and Young People’, *Family, Domestic and Sexual Violence* (Web Page, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW), 30 July 2025) <<https://www.aihw.gov.au/family-domestic-and-sexual-violence/population-groups/children-and-young-people>>.

Megan Sety, *The Impact of Domestic Violence on Children: A Literature Review* (Report, Australian Domestic and Family Violence Clearinghouse, 1 August 2011) <<https://apo.org.au/node/25894>>.

Leesa Hooker, Rae Kaspiew and Angela Taft, *Domestic and Family Violence and Parenting: Mixed Methods Insights into Impact and Support Needs: State of Knowledge Paper* (Australia’s National Research Organisation for Women’s Safety (ANROWS), ANROWS Landscapes Issue 01/2016, 2016) <<https://www.anrows.org.au/publication/domestic-and-family-violence-and-parenting-mixed-methods-insights-into-impact-and-support-needs-state-of-knowledge-paper/>>.

See generally, Australian Institute of Judicial Administration (AIJA), National Domestic and Family Violence Bench Book (Bench Book, August 2025, AIJA, University of Melbourne, Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department) [3.8.1] ‘Exposing Children to Domestic and Family Violence’ <<https://dfvbenchbook.aija.org.au/home>>.